## **PERKINSCOIE**

500 North Akard Street Suite 3300 Dallas, TX 75201-3347 1 +1.214.965.7700 1 +1.214.965.7799 PerkinsCoie.com

April 9, 2024

John R. Hardin JohnHardin@perkinscoie.com D. +1.214.965.7743 F. +1.214.965.7793

## VIA E-FILING

The Honorable Arun Subramanian United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: Patagon Management LLC v. Wei "Max" Wu – Case No. 23-cv-02742-AS

Dear Judge Subramanian:

We write on behalf of Plaintiff Patagon Management Inc. ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to Your Honor's Individual Rule 11(B), to respectfully request that this Court allow Plaintiff to file the letter request (the "Letter Request") under seal.

As outlined in Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt (ECF No. 26) and Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 39) Defendant Wei "Max" Wu ("Defendant") has repeatedly violated this Court's Temporary Restraining Order (ECF No. 13) and Preliminary Injunction Order (ECF No. 22, with the Temporary Restraining Order, the "Orders") by taking actions to move assets in cryptocurrency wallets identified in the Orders. On February 28, 2024, this Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 54, the "Default Judgment Order"). The Default Judgment Order contains language similar to the Orders, and enjoins the Defendant from continuing to take actions to move assets in certain cryptocurrency wallets.

Courts may exercise "discretion in determining whether good cause exists to overcome the presumption of open access to documents filed . . . ." *Geller v. Branic Int'l Realty Corp.*, 212 F.3d 734, 738 (2d Cir. 2000). Here, good cause exists because the Letter Request identifies a method to prevent Defendant from continuing to violate this Court's orders, and if that proposal were to be public, the method identified in the letter would no longer be effective.

For these reasons, Plaintiff requests that the Court allow Plaintiff to file the Letter Request under seal. We thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

The Honorable Arun Subramanian April 9, 2024 Page 2

to ho R. Hard

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Hardin

JRH:kjf

Plaintiff's request is DENIED without prejudice. While the Court will issue orders as may be necessary to enforce the Court's default judgment order (Dkt. 58), the Court will not issue those orders *ex parte* and under seal. Plaintiff may renew its motion, understanding that any order will filed publicly on the docket.

The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motion at ECF Nos. 57 and 58.

SO ORDERED.

Arun Subramanian, U.S.D.J.

Date: April 10, 2024